UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

)
ANITA M. BARROW,)
Plaintiff,) Civil Action No.) 16-11493-FDS
v.)
HERBERT A. BARROW, JR., et al,)
Defendants.)

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER ON DEFENDANT AYERS'S MOTION FOR SANCTIONS

This action arises out of the partition by sale of a property in Falmouth, Massachusetts, formerly owned by Emma Barrow, the mother of plaintiff Anita Barrow. Plaintiff, proceeding *pro se*, filed this action contending that her siblings and various other individuals involved in the property's alleged decline in value and its ultimate sale—including Kendall Ayers, an employee of Barnstable County who, according to the complaint, filed a betterment lien against the property—discriminated against her on the basis of race in violation of state and federal law, including the Fair Housing Act ("FHA"), 42 U.S.C. § 3601, *et seq.*, and the Civil Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 1981, 1982, and 1983.

On September 8, 2016, Ayers moved to dismiss the claims against him for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted, which the Court granted. Ayers then moved for an order of sanctions against plaintiff, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 11, in the amount of his reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure authorizes courts to impose sanctions

¹ In the complaint, defendant's name is spelled "Ayres," however it appears that the proper spelling is "Ayers."

against attorneys or unrepresented parties for filing pleadings, written motions, or other papers

that: (1) are "presented for any improper purpose, such as to harass," (2) contain claims not

"warranted by existing law or by a nonfrivolous argument for extending, modifying, or reversing

existing law or for establishing new law," or (3) contain factual contentions (or denials) without

evidentiary support. Fed. R. Civ. P. 11(b), (c). Where the sanctions sought are attorneys' fees

and costs, courts generally require that the party seeking sanctions support the requested amount

with affidavits and other relevant materials detailing the fees and costs incurred in the

representation. See Cardillo v. Cardillo, 360 F. Supp. 2d 402, 406 (D. Mass. 2005); Hochen v.

Bobst Group, Inc., 198 F.R.D. 11, 18 (D. Mass. 2000).

Here, Ayers has not submitted any documentation detailing either the total amount of fees

and costs incurred, the hours worked and rates charged by his attorneys, or the reasonability of

the hours worked and rates charged. Accordingly, Ayers's motion for attorneys' fees and costs

will be DENIED. The denial is without prejudice to its renewal with appropriate supporting

materials.

So Ordered.

Dated: July 10, 2017

/s/ F. Dennis Saylor

F. Dennis Saylor IV

United States District Judge

2